AUTM TransACT Database

Preview

AUTM has created the TransACT Database, a powerful comprehensive database of transactional terms and conditions that only AUTM could amass. This database is comprised of a full record of de-identified licensing agreements that subscribers can use as comparables in negotiations, can rely on for pricing or deal structure alternatives, and can confirm fair market value for their transactions. This new database provides substantial advantages in term of information relating to transactional structures, terms, scope, and other relevant details that are more useful and more specific than any other source that's out there.

The purpose of this initiative is to:

- inform the market of comparable transactions
- allow not-for-profit licensors and licensees to confirm Fair Market Value for their transactions
- aid small entities that may not have access to comparables or may not have a high volume of transactions with which to aid in valuation of intellectual property
- increase the speed of academic/industry transactions

In addition to providing value to technology transfer professionals, the AUTM TransACT Database may also prove useful to offices of general counsel or other university administrators who are concerned with fair market value and anti-kickback regulations. The ability to compare deal terms to fair market value should provide some assurance that deals do not include additional considerations in return for hidden preferences.

Login Information

Go to https://autm.client.peerfocus.com and set your password. This is a simple and fast process. However, the email you will be sent immediately as one step in the process might end up in your spam or junk folder. If you do not get the email with a password setting link in a minute in your inbox, check your spam and junk folders. If you still don't see it, email help@peerfocus.com and we will assign a temp password for you.

After you have set your password you can log in. You will need to accept the **End User License Agreement** in order to proceed to the home page (this is just a one time step). On the home page click the link to the Royalty & Deals Database. At the top of the data entry form you need to click the box indicating your institution is going to complete the "survey." That will open it for data entry.

To add an agreement, simply assign it a name that let's you identify it. Once you save the name, the sections where you enter the agreement data will appear.

Please be aware that other AUTM members at your institution may have access to this database too and care should be taken to avoid entering duplicate deals.

The following images contain an <u>example</u> of a hypothetical agreement being entered into the AUTM TransACT Database. This example is for <u>demonstration purposes only</u>, to show the types of questions asked by the database, and is not to be construed as actual data from a real agreement. Nor is this example intended to provide any guidance as to what terms should be offered for any particular technology. These sample images do not contain all questions or demonstrate all potential options for responses.

Agreement: Test

A. General Characteristics

Name of Agreement - The name you give this agreement will never be made public or released to other users. The name is only for your internal tracking purposes. However, as a best practice, we advise you to use an agreement name that does not reveal or suggest the actual parties to the agreement.

Your Name - The name of the person entering the agreement data will never be made public or released to other users. The name will only be used for your internal tracking purposes, for instance to allow a technology transfer office to track which of their own staff members have submitted agreements to the database.

1.a. Name of agreement	Unique Internal Identifier
1.b Your name	

	YYYY
2. Year of Execution (YYYY)	2013

	Term	If "Defined number of years," what was the term in years?	Was the term renewable?
3. Agreement term	Expiration of intellectual property rights Defined number of years Indefinite Other	10	No Yes

		Did any of licensing institution faculty maintain involvement as Directors or Executives or hold <i>separate</i> equity stake in startup?
4. Was a startup formed under the licensing agreement?	No Yes	

5. How large was the licensee company at time of license execution?	500 Employees or lessMore than 500 employees
---------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------

	Ownership	Located in the US?
6. Licensee characteristics at time of license execution	PrivatePublic	O No O Yes

7. Who owns the licensed technology?	Institution alone Institution and licensee Institution and third party Multiple Parties
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	Check All That Apply
8. What source(s) of funding were used to develop the technology?	
Federal	•
State	
Foundation	
Industry	•
Internal	•
None	

Save and return to menu Save and stay here Save and go to next section

Section A of the database questionnaire is intended to capture basic information about the agreement — information that might be found in the header of the agreement or is otherwise factual information about the licensee and licensed technology.

Questions 1.a and 1.b are for your internal use to track which agreements have been entered, and by whom, and answers to these questions are never revealed by any query of the database.

Agreement: Unique Internal Identifier

B. Licensing Rights

Please select all Intellectual Property Rights that were included in the agreement and complete all information for each selected IP Right. If the agreement covered multiple Applications and/or Application Categories, please use the primary Application and/or Application Category for the purposes of this section.

1. Patent Rights	
Application Category	A. Human Health Care
Application	Therapeutic/Drug: Small molecule
Development Stage	Preclinical - animal data available
2. Tangible Materials	Not Applicable Preclinical - no lead candidate Preclinical - lead candidate identified Preclinical - animal data available
Application Category	IND/IDE filed Clinical – Phase I submitted Clinical – Phase II submitted Clinical – Phase III submitted
Application	Clinical – NDA/PMA submitted Approved Approved
3. Plant Variety Protection	
Application Category	Not Applicable 💌
Application	Not Applicable ▼
Development Stage	Not Applicable
4. Know-How / Data	
Application Category	Not Applicable ▼
Application	Not Applicable 🔻

Section B of the

Questionnaire is where you identify what type of rights have been included in the agreement. You may choose all that apply from Patent Rights, Tangible Materials, PVP, Know-how, Trademarks, and Software and NonSoftware Copyrights. Each type of rights has an Application Category which can be chosen from:

- 1. Human Health Care
- 2. Veterinary
- 3. Engineering & Physical Sciences
- 4. Agriculture
- 5. Research Reagents/Tools
- 6. Energy & Environment
- 7. Consumer Products
- 8. Education

This can be further refined by describing the type of Application, which details the various sub-categories of each Application Category. In addition, stage of development of the technology can be included, if applicable.

Agreement: Unique Internal Identifier

C. Grant-Related Elements

1. Was the agreement exclusive?	Exclusive Non-exclusive Mixed (some grants exclusive, others not) Co-exclusive or Semi-exclusive
2. Was the grant of rights limited to certain fields of use?	O No Yes
3. Were there any territorial restrictions?	○ No ● Yes

	Check All That Apply
If there were territorial restrictions, please indicate the continent(s) in which rights ARE granted.	
Africa	
Asia	
Australia/New Zealand	
Europe	
North America	•
Central/South America	

Section C of the Database explores the grant-related elements of your agreement, to determine the level of exclusivity and overall scope of the rights granted.

	Were rights automatically included in the license or does licensee include an option to negotiate for such future rights?	Was additional consideration provided by licensee for future rights?
4. Were future rights included?	Rights automatically included in existing license License includes option to negotiate future rights	No Yes

If "No" above in Question 4, skip ahead to Question 6 below.

	Check All That Apply
5. If future rights were included in the license, were any of the following limits on technology included in the future rights?	тистри
Improvements dominated by existing rights granted under terms of the license agreement	•
Improvements made by any of the same inventors	•
Improvements in the same field of use granted under terms of the license agreement	
Improvements developed within scope of work under a Sponsored Research Agreement, Collaboration Agreement, CRADA or the like	•
Other limitations	
No limitations	

		If Yes, did Licensor receive copy of Sublicense?
6. Was right to sub-license included in the grant?	O No Yes	○ No ● Yes

If "No" above in Question 6, skip ahead to Question 8 below.

	Audit Sublicensee?	Approve Sublicenses?
7. Does licensor have right to	Yes, can audit Sublicensee directly Yes, can require Licensee to audit Sublicensee No right to audit	○ No ● Yes
What happens to Sublicenses if License is terminated?	Automatic Termination Licensor takes over Sublicenses with revisions Licensor takes over Sublicenses without revisions Licensor takes over sublicense without revisions, subject to execution of a standard Consent to Substitution agreement Sublicensee receives right to negotiate with Licensor	

Agreement: Unique Internal Identifier

D. Financial Considerations

cash payments required under the License(s)?	NoYes
-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------

If "No" above in Question 1, skip to Question 4.

If there were upfront payments...

	Please report in US Dollars \$
What was the total amount of cash received upon execution (including payments due upon execution and payable within 90 days of the Effective Date)?	1,000,000
3. What was the total amount of cash received in install- ments (including payments due upon execution and payable greater than 90 days after the Effective Date)?	

Was there any Equity (including warrants, options, etc.) received as consideration under the License(s)?	No Yes
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

If "No" to Question 4 above, skip to Question 10 below.

If equity was part of the consideration...

		Amount
5. What percentage of the company did this equity stake represent? Amount in %		
6. Was there anti-dilution protection?	○ No ○ Yes	
7. Were there buyback provisions?	○ No ○ Yes	
8. Were there pre-emptive rights?	O No O Yes	
9. Was an exit fee specified? If Yes, how much? Amount in \$	○ No ○ Yes	

10. Did the license include language specifying any future research funding for your institution from the Licensee for further development of Licensed Products?	No Yes
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

		Aggregate Amt. of All Cash Payments	Aggregate Amt. of Equity (incl. shadow equity as % of Total Equity)
11. Did your license include any Performance Milestone Payments (payments from the Licensee that are contingent upon some technical or market milestone being achieved)?	○ No ● Yes	75,000,000	

			If Single, what was the percentage?
12. Did the license include a running royalty component? (Licensee makes payments to Licensor directly based on sales of products covered by the License.)	Yes, percent of net salesYes, OtherNo	Single rateTiered rates	

If "No" to Question 12 above, skip to Question 16 below.

If Tiered Rates, complete the following table...

Please enter the royalty rates applicable to each tier, along with the tier criteria and limits on the range of the tier. For dollar value tiers, please enter the upper and lower dollar amount limits for such tier, entering only a lower limit amount for the top tier. For "fetled of Use" or "Products or services" tiers, please enter a brief text description in the lower limit field.

	Running Royalty Rate Percent of Net Sales	Criteria for All Tiers	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
Tier 1	3.0	Annual net sales	0	500000000
Tier 2	5.0		500000001	
Tier 3				
Tier 4				
Tier 5				

If Royalty Rates were set by other methods, complete the following table...

	Describe Method
Other method 1	
Other method 2	
Other method 3	

Section D takes an extensive look at the financial considerations captured by the deal.

Rather than reporting on only one or two terms, the AUTM database will provide the full set of terms to enable users to see the true value of the deal.

Not all deals have a single royalty rate, and the AUTM database allows you to see the rates at different tiers, and what criteria is used to create the different tiers.

The database also allows for royalties that are not based on a percentage of Net Sales, but are rather based on other units of measure.

13. Did your license allow for a reduction in your running royalty as a result of Licensee's royalty obligations to third parties?	•	No Ye
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------	----------

	What was the maximum portion allowed to be deducted?	
14. Was there a floor below which running royalty rates will not fall?	O No • Yes	 Up to 25% of base rate 26 to 50% of base rate Greater than 50% of base rate

15. Was there a royalty reduction for combination products?	No Vec

	What was the max \$ amount charged in any one year for License Maintenance Fees	
16. Did your license include an obliqation for the Licensee to pay License Maintenance Fees?	O No • Yes	20,000

17. Did your license include an obligation for the licensee to pay Minimum Annual Royalties (MAR)?

If "No" in question 17 above, skip to Question 18 below.

If "Yes," please complete the following table.

	N Years After Effective Date	\$ Amount
Year 1 MAR	3	50,000
Year 2 MAR	4	75,000
Year 3 MAR	5	100,000
Year 4 MAR	6	200,000
Year 5 MAR	7	200,000

Typical deductions from royalties can also be captured, as well as maintenance fees and minimum annual royalties. If your agreement did not include Sublicensing Rights, please skip to the bottom of page and hit Save. You are done with this section of the survey.

18. What consideration did you receive for **Net Sales** of Licensed Products when the sales are made by a Sublicensee?

Pass through royalty (same royalty on Net Sales as Licensee)
 Same percentage as non-royalty payments

How did your license address non-royalty Sublicensee payments (payments made from Sublicensee to Licensee not related to sales of Licensed Products by Sublicensee, i.e. up-front fees or milestone payments contained in the Sublicensing agreement)?

		If Fixed %, what is %?
19. Form of non-royalty sublicense payments	Fixed % Time varying Event varying	

If you answered "Time varying" trigger points in Question 19 above, please complete the following Timed Trigger Points table as appropriate.

	Non-Royalty Sublicensing Percentage	N Years After Effective Date
Timed Trigger 1		
Timed Trigger 2		
Timed Trigger 3		
Timed Trigger 4		
Timed Trigger 5		

If you answered "Event varying" trigger points in Question 19 above, please complete the following Event Trigger Points table as appropriate.

	Non-Royalty Sublicensing Percentage	Describe Event
Event Trigger 1	40.0	Prior to filing of IND
Event Trigger 2	30.0	After filing of IND but prior to initiation of Phase I clinical trials
Event Trigger 3	20.0	After initiation of Phase I but prior to initiation of Phase II clinical trials
Event Trigger 4	15.0	After initiation of Phase II but prior to initiation of Phase III
Event Trigger 5	10.0	After initiation of Phase III

Details on Sublicensing terms are also captured by the database.

Agreement: Unique Internal Identifier

E. Other Elements

1. Who contro	ls patent	•	Licensor
(if Patent Right	ts selected)	0	Licensee

2. What portion of patent costs is licensee responsible for reimbursing?

	Check All That Apply
All past patenting costs	•
Partial past patenting costs	
All future patenting costs	•
Partial future patenting costs	
One-time fixed fee	
No obligation to pay patenting costs	

	No	Yes
Did the agreement include non-financial diligence milestones that represent important steps in the commercialization of the technology?	0	•
Did the agreement include mandatory sublicensing provisions?	•	0

Check All That Apply 5. If your agreement included patent rights, please select the type or types of provisions that were included in the agreement that address the MedImmune ruling: -- Advance notice before taking action to challenge patent rights 1 -- Jurisdiction control - Coverage of legal fees for defense -- Automatic conversion to non-exclusive license during challenge 1 -- Automatic termination -- Automatic conversion to non-exclusive license upon successful defense of challenge -- Increase in royalty rate during challenge -- Increase in royalty rate upon successful defense of challenge? 1 1 - Other

6. Did your agreement allow for any kind of arbitration?	Yes, binding Yes, non-binding No	
7. What is the first step of dispute resolution as outlined by your agreement?	Arbitration Mediation Court Other	

As your agreement was written, was assignment of the license ever allowed for any reason? (Select "Yes" below if you have any provision included in your agreement that provided the licensee with a pathway to potentially assign the license, even if such a pathway required your approval or meeting with certain conditions before it was allowed.)

		Was approval from Licensor required?	Were conditions placed on the assignment?
8. Was assignment of the license allowed? (If Yes, please answer questions to right)	O No Yes	O No • Yes	○ No ② Yes

Save and return to menu Save and stay here

Section E of the database looks at other elements of the agreement that may affect value of the overall deal, such as payment of patenting costs, the existence of diligence provisions, handling of patent challenges, arbitration and dispute resolution.